November 12, 2026

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito
Chairman
Senate Environment & Public Works Committee
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Brett Guthrie
Chairman
House Energy & Commerce Committee
2125 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Sheldon Whitehouse
Ranking Member
Senate Environment & Public Works Committee
456 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Frank Pallone
Ranking Member
House Energy & Commerce Committee
2323 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairmen Capito and Guthrie and Ranking Members Whitehouse and Pallone:

We are writing to request that you enact an at least five-year, clean extension of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) fee authority under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section 26(b)(6) before December 11, 2026.

American manufacturing competitiveness hinges on the chemical manufacturing sector's ability to innovate and meet market and consumer demands. Ten years ago, Congress enacted the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the Twenty-First Century Act amending TSCA for the first time in forty years. The new law created the TSCA Service Fee Fund, and it authorized the Administrator of the EPA to assess fees to be paid by chemical manufacturers for twenty-five percent of testing, new chemical review, and existing chemical risk evaluations conducted by the EPA. This year, the fee authority expires.

As you know, this group of organizations and its member companies have worked with Congress especially in the past two years to reach new, bipartisan legislative provisions to help the EPA improve its implementation of the TSCA program. We appreciate the efforts of congressional

leaders to advance bipartisan improvements to TSCA and remain committed to pursuing reforms that improve the timeliness, transparency, and predictability of EPA's new chemicals review process. While extending fee authority is important, it is not a substitute for these needed improvements, and we look forward to continuing this work with Congress. We represent innovators who regularly wait well beyond the TSCA statutory timelines for approval of new chemical submissions and encounter conflicting regulatory obligations through risk evaluations and management rules despite also paying for a significant portion of the implementation of TSCA programs. However, despite those challenges, we fully support EPA having adequate resources for modern technology and sufficient staffing to administer the TSCA program.

Consistent and reliable long-term resources for EPA's TSCA program are crucial to supporting U.S. business; developing a durable, predictable, and science-based regulatory process; and promoting innovation and new transformative developments to keep the U.S. a global industry leader.

Sincerely,

Alliance for Chemical Distribution
American Chemical Society
American Chemistry Council
American Cleaning Institute
American Composites Manufacturers Association
Chemistry Council of New Jersey
Color Pigments Manufacturers Association
Household and Commercial Products Association
Kentucky Association of Manufacturers
National Association of Manufacturers
National Mining Association
Plastics Industry Association
Society of Chemical Manufacturers and Affiliates
The Fertilizer Institute
U.S. Chamber of Commerce
Vinyl Institute
West Virginia Manufacturers Association