ICCA-LRI Workshop Co-organized with Health Canada and U.S. EPA

Ottawa, Canada | June 20-21, 2018 Fairmont Château Laurier

## Demonstrating 21<sup>st</sup> Century Methods and Critical Tools for Risk-Based Decisions

#### **Workshop Co-chairs**

Tara Barton-Maclaren Health Canada

Russell Thomas U.S. Environmental Protection Agency





Health Santé Canada Canada United States Environmental Protection Agency

Wednesday, June : 7:00 – 8:10 AM	Registration and Breakfast	Drawing Room Foyer
	-	
Welcome and Opening Remarks   Drawing Room		
8:10 – 8:40 AM	<b>Welcome Address</b> Kathleen Plotzke – ICCA-LRI Cha	ir, The Dow Chemical Company, USA
	<b>Opening Remarks</b> Robert Ianiro – Health Canada, Ca	anada
Session I: Setting the Stage		
Session Chairs: Robert Barter, ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc., USA Leonard Sweet, The Lubrizol Corporation, USA		
8:40 – 9:00 AM	Advanced Approaches for Risk A Opportunities for Industry	Assessment: Challenges and
	Pamela Spencer – ANGUS Chemi	cal Company, USA
9:00 – 9:20 AM	Why New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) & Risk-Based Approach Using NAMs are Essential to Missions of Regulatory Agencies – A Canadian Perspective	
	Christine Norman – Health Canad	a, Canada
9:20 – 9:40 AM	Prediction vs. Protection: Asking Methods	the Right Question of New Approach
	Russell Thomas – U.S. Environme	ental Protection Agency, USA
9:40 – 10:00 AM	Where the Rubber Meets the Roa Animal Testing to NAMs	nd(map): Critical Steps in Moving From
	Warren Casey – National Institute	of Environmental Health Sciences, USA
10:00 – 10:20 AM	The SciRAP Tool for Structured a Studies	and Transparent Evaluation of In Vitro
	<b>Anna Beronius</b> – Karolinska Institu	utet, Sweden

Session II: Toxicogenomics			
Session Chairs: Bruno Hubesch, European Chemical Industry Council (Cefic), Belgium Francina Webster, Health Canada, Canada			
10:45 – 11:05 AM	-	esting Using CometChip® and TempO- 6-Well Platform to Predict DNA Damaging Cells	
	Julie Buick – Health Canada,	Canada	, C
11:05 – 11:25 AM		ng of Transcriptomic Data at Health Past and Looking to the Future	inuny 1
	Carole Yauk - Health Canada	, Canada	VIEU
11:25 – 11:45 AM	Big Data in Toxicogenomics Danyel Jennen – Maastricht U		ious an
11:45 AM – 12:05 PM	for Wildlife and Foundation f	ay: A Rapid Screening and Monitoring Tool For the EcoToxChip Project and Climate Change Canada, Canada	
12:05 – 1:30 PM	Lunch	Drawing Room Foyer	ē
Session III: Fit-for-Purpo	ose Tools and Methods		U C
Patrick Mo	Hanzawa, Japan Chemical Industry Mullen, ScitoVation, USA oglund, Covestro, USA	/ Association, Japan	
1:30 – 1:55 PM		ubstances Control Law (CSCL) Japan: es in Risk Assessment of Chemicals (Past,	
	Makoto Hayashi – Ministry of	Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan	
1:55 – 2:20 PM	Chemicals of Interest Using	ins of Exposure for Thousands of High-Throughput Computational Methods	Ű
	Chantel Nicolas – ScitoVation	, USA	
2:20 – 2:45 PM	Examining the Utility of In Vi Departure: A Case Study	tro Bioactivity as a Conservative Point of	
	Katie Paul Friedman – U.S. E	nvironmental Protection Agency, USA	
2:45 – 3:10 PM	The Journey from Reactivity Assessment	to Predictivity for Product Safety	
	Claire Terry – Corteva Agrisci	ence, Agriculture Division of DowDuPont, USA	
3:10 – 3:35 PM	High-Throughput In Vitro Ph	enotypic Profiling for Toxicity Prediction	
	Lit-Hsin Loo – Agency for Science Singapore	ence, Technology and Research (A*STAR),	
3:35 – 3:55 PM	Afternoon Break	Drawing Room Foyer	

Session III: Fit-for-Purpose Tools and Methods (Continued) Session Chairs: Masahiko Hanzawa, Japan Chemical Industry Association, Japan Patrick McMullen, ScitoVation, USA Robert Skoglund, Covestro, USA		
3:55 – 4:20 PM	A Web Portal to Screen High-Through DREAM-TK Andy Nong – Health Canada, Canada	hput Toxicokinetics for Regulators:
4:20 – 4:45 PM	Improving the Use of Human Biomon Lesa Aylward – Summit Toxicology, LL	•
Poster Preview Facilitator: Lawrence Reite	er, Private Consultant, USA	
4:45 – 5:20 PM	<b>Poster Preview</b> A full list of poster abstracts are provided	d separately.
Day 1 Summary		
5:20 – 5:30 PM	<i>Day 1 Summary</i> Steve Maguire – McGill University, Can Mel Andersen – ScitoVation, USA	ada
6:00 – 7:30 PM	Poster Reception	Adam Room
6:00 – 7:30 PM 7:30 – 10:00 PM	Poster Reception Group Dinner	Adam Room Canadian Museum of History
		Canadian Museum of History er while surrounded by artifacts and
	Group Dinner Enjoy cocktails and a three-course dinner	<b>Canadian Museum of History</b> er while surrounded by artifacts and ry. ocated at 100 Laurier St, Gatineau,
	Group Dinner Enjoy cocktails and a three-course dinned displays illuminating Canada's rich histo The <u>Canadian Museum of History</u> is lo	Canadian Museum of History er while surrounded by artifacts and ry. boated at 100 Laurier St, Gatineau, om the Fairmont Château Laurier. tion will be available on a continuous seum of History between 7:20 PM obby during this time period for shuttle vres, plus an opportunity to walk
	Group Dinner Enjoy cocktails and a three-course dinner displays illuminating Canada's rich histor The <u>Canadian Museum of History</u> is lo QC K1A 0M8, Canada, and is 1.7 km fro Transportation: Shuttle bus transportate loop to bring guests to the Canadian Mu and 8:00 PM. Please meet in the hotel lo transportation. Cocktails and hors d'oeur through the Canadian History Hall exhibit	<b>Canadian Museum of History</b> er while surrounded by artifacts and ry. boated at 100 Laurier St, Gatineau, om the Fairmont Château Laurier. tion will be available on a continuous seum of History between 7:20 PM obby during this time period for shuttle vres, plus an opportunity to walk ition, will be ready for guests as they
	Group Dinner Enjoy cocktails and a three-course dinner displays illuminating Canada's rich histor The <u>Canadian Museum of History</u> is lo QC K1A 0M8, Canada, and is 1.7 km fro Transportation: Shuttle bus transportate loop to bring guests to the Canadian Mu and 8:00 PM. Please meet in the hotel lo transportation. Cocktails and hors d'oeur through the Canadian History Hall exhibit arrive. The shuttles will depart the Canadian Mu	<b>Canadian Museum of History</b> er while surrounded by artifacts and ry. boated at 100 Laurier St, Gatineau, om the Fairmont Château Laurier. tion will be available on a continuous seum of History between 7:20 PM obby during this time period for shuttle vres, plus an opportunity to walk ition, will be ready for guests as they

Thursday, June 21, 2018		
7:00 – 8:35 AM	Registration, Breakfast, and Poster Viewing	Drawing Room Foyer
Welcome and Review of	of Day 1	Drawing Room
8:35 – 8:50 AM	<i>Welcome and Review of Day 1</i> Tara Barton-Maclaren – Health Canada, Ca	nada
Session IV: New Appro	pach Methods for Environmental and Ecotoxic	
Session Chairs: Niladri B	asu, McGill University, Canada Jghes, Shell Health, USA	loiogy
8:50 – 9:10 AM	SETAC Focused Topic Meeting on High-T Ecological Risk Assessment	hroughput Methods for
	Natalia Garcia-Reyero – Mississippi State U	Iniversity, USA
9:10 – 9:30 AM	Integrating In Vitro, In Vivo and In Silico T Bioaccumulation Assessment	oxicokinetics Data for
	Jon Arnot – ARC Arnot Research and Const	ulting, Canada
9:30 – 9:50 AM	Ecotoxicological Threshold of Concern (eco-TTC): Development of an Approach to Assist in Environmental Hazard Assessment	
	Michelle Embry – Health and Environmental	l Sciences Institute, USA
9:50 – 10:10 AM	Utilization of Prediction Method of Biodegradability and Bioaccumulation for Review of New Chemical Substance Notifications	
	Yuki Sakuratani – National Institute of Tech	nology and Evaluation, Japan
10:10 – 10:40 AM	Panel Discussion	
	Facilitators:	
	<ul> <li>Niladri Basu – McGill University, Car</li> <li>Sarah Hughes – Shell Health, USA</li> </ul>	nada
	Panelists:	
	<ul> <li>Natalia Garcia-Reyero – Mississippi</li> <li>Jon Arnot – ARC Arnot Research an</li> <li>Michelle Embry – Health and Enviror</li> <li>Yuki Sakuratani – National Institute of Japan</li> </ul>	d Consulting, Canada nmental Sciences Institute, USA
10:40 – 11:00 AM	Morning Break	Drawing Room Foyer
Session V: Internationa Decisions	al Collaborations for Applying New Approach	Methods to Regulatory
Session Chair: Richard B	ecker, American Chemistry Council, USA	
11:00 – 11:20 AM	EU ToxRisk: The H2020 Project on Mecha	nism-Based Toxicity Testing
	Hennicke Kamp – BASF SE, Germany	
11:20 – 11:40 AM	Accelerating the Pace of Chemical Risk A	ssessment
	Mike Rasenberg – European Chemicals Age	ency, Finland

11:40 AM – 12:55 PM	Lunch	Drawing Room Foyer	Dei
	ablishing Scientific Confidence in New Approa Yes, What is its Replacement?	ach Methods: Is it Time to Get	monstr
Facilitator: Vicki Dellarco,	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Retired, USA		atin
12:55 – 1:50 PM	<ul> <li>Panel Discussion on Establishing Scientifi Approach Methods (Reflections on Uncerta Facilitator: <ul> <li>Vicki Dellarco – U.S. Environmental FUSA</li> </ul> </li> <li>Panelists: <ul> <li>Tara Barton-Maclaren – Health Cana</li> <li>Richard Becker – American Chemistri</li> <li>Warren Casey – National Institute of EUSA</li> <li>Esther Haugabrooks – Physicians Commedicine, USA</li> <li>Mike Rasenberg – European Chemica</li> <li>Russell Thomas – U.S. Environmental</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>ainties)</b> Protection Agency, Retired, da, Canada y Council, USA Environmental Health Sciences, ommittee for Responsible als Agency, Finland	Demonstrating 21st Century Methods and Critical Tools for Risk-Based Decisions
Workshop Conclusion			IS TO
1:50 – 2:00 PM	Workshop Conclusion Steve Maguire – McGill University, Canada Mel Andersen – ScitoVation, USA		<b>Kisk-Base</b>
2:00 – 2:30 PM	Afternoon Break and Departure	Drawing Room Foyer	ä D∈
<b>Optional Training Cou</b>	irse		<b>PCISI</b>
	Exposure to Health Effects Model (PLETHEM) len, Salil Pendse, Chantel Nicolas, ScitoVation, USA		ons
2:30 – 5:30 PM	<ul> <li>PLETHEM is a modular, open-source modelin ScitoVation researchers through support of the used for rapid prediction of chemical dosimetrinanging from high-throughput screening to in-or PLETHEM can be used to:</li> <li>Simulate exposure across the entire lifesping gestation to senescence to determine the physiological change on the relationship or</li> <li>Conduct reverse dosimetry modeling to est environmental chemicals from human bior</li> <li>Develop quantitative <i>in-vitro</i>-to-<i>in-vivo</i> extra concentration of a chemical that elicits a b predict the equivalent <i>in vivo</i> dose.</li> </ul>	e ACC LRI. PLETHEM can be y to support risk assessments depth risk evaluations. an from the beginning of effect of growth and f exposure and internal dose. stimate exposures to nonitoring data. rapolation to translate the	

# Demonstrating 21<sup>st</sup> Century Methods and Critical Tools for Risk-Based Decisions

## Workshop Co-Chairs:

### Tara Barton-Maclaren

Health Canada

#### **Russell Thomas** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency





# **Organizing Committee**

Tara Barton-Maclaren – Health Canada Workshop Co-Chair		Rusty Thomas – U.S. EPA Workshop Co-Chair	
<b>Bob Barter</b> ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc.	<b>Nil Basu</b> McGill University	<b>Rick Becker</b> American Chemistry Council	Vicki Dellarco U.S. EPA, Retired
Masahiko Hanzawa Japan Chemical Industry Association	Heli Hollnagel The Dow Chemical Company	Bruno Hubesch European Chemical Industry Council (Cefic)	Sarah Hughes Shell Oil Company
Kunifumi Inawaka Japan Chemical Industry Association	Christine Norman Health Canada	Kathy Plotzke The Dow Chemical Company	Shinoi Sakata Japan Chemical Industry Association
Gino Scarano U.S. EPA	Len Sweet The Lubrizol Corporation	<b>W. Scott Thurlow</b> Chemistry Industry Association of Canada	Francina Webster Health Canada

## **Workshop Participation**

#### Final Attendee Count: 136



## **Selected Points from Rapporteur Summaries**

#### Mel Andersen, ScitoVation

### Steve Maguire, McGill University

#### Important themes included:

- Moving from prediction to protection: A different way to do assessment.
- An avalanche of new technologies in gene expression profiling, bioinformatics, phenotypic profiling and MOA platforms bring along challenges for data storage and data evaluation that might be used in assessments
- Triage of compounds for testing and prioritization as part of the risk process
- Understanding decision contexts and bringing design thinking into the development of toxicological knowledge, including understanding the end users

## **Selected Points from Rapporteur Summaries**

#### Mel Andersen, ScitoVation

#### **Steve Maguire, McGill University**

#### Important themes, continued:

- Current practice might be changed by using NAMs with an emphasis on (1) better mechanistic knowledge, (2) effects on human rather than rodent biology,(3) looking at relevant exposures and (4) still appreciating the needs for weight-of-evidence and appropriate precaution in applying the information from all studies, especially NAMs, in deriving proposed regulatory action from the new data on NAMs
- Different understanding of uncertainty across stakeholders
  - Status quo produces low regulatory uncertainty, policy, legal, social uncertainty—that's why it persists
  - Stakeholders concerned that a new approach will have ripples in terms of regulatory uncertainty, predictability of the conclusions that will be drawn

# **Path Forward?**

- Collaboration, and partnerships, between regulators, industry, and academia are necessary to the future of toxicology.
- Prediction versus protection? We need to understand the decision context that we are working in.
- Uncertainty will persist; we are currently at a status quo of uncertainty and people are nervous to start using new tools with new uncertainties. We need to bridge this gap.
- Developers of tools need to work with the end users to create accessible, effective tools.

## **Preliminary Survey Feedback**

#### Overall quality of the workshop

Excellent 74% Very Good 16% Good 10%

I felt it was an excellent 2 days. Very good speakers on topics I was interested in. The venue was superb, both location and quality of food, room, technology etc.

The agenda topics were very relevant to the work that is currently being undertaken both at the national and international level. The opportunity to network and listen to the viewpoints from the various stakeholders was also value-added. The venue and the assistance provided by all staff were excellent.

## **Preliminary Survey Feedback**

The presentations regarding new methods and tools for risk-based decisions were clear and easy to understand.

Strongly Agree 37%	Presenters did an excellent job in staying on time and highlighting key points in the field.
Agree 58%	I am somewhat peripheral to the field as a newcomer, so acronyms were a challenge for me.
Disagree 5%	Topics of several presentations (maybe including mine) did not focus well the main theme of the workshop.

## **Preliminary Survey Feedback**

#### The poster session was a valuable complement to the workshop.



## **Preliminary Survey Feedback**

I left the workshop with new ideas about methods and tools for risk-based decisions that I can implement in my work.

Strongly Agree 37%	The workshop presentations and discussions were valuable in providing the landscape of progress made in the field, and were critical in identifying additional work needed. It was insightful to hear the different perspectives.
Agree 42%	I got a very clear sense of the current challenges and my potential role in contributing in the future.
	More strategic/regulatory presentation/disscussion should be required.
Disagree 11%	This workshop confirmed our current understanding of the general status of 21st
No opinion 10%	century risk-based decision methods and tools.

# **Session Summaries**



# **Session I: Setting the Stage**

Chairs: B. Barter | ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc., L. Sweet | The Lubrizol Company

Discussed the changing landscape in toxicology and the challenges and opportunities that have arisen in recent years.

- Dr. Pam Spencer spoke about the challenges and opportunities for industry related to advanced approaches to risk assessment.
- Dr. Christine Norman gave a Canadian regulatory perspective on new approach methods (NAMs) and risk-based approaches using NAM.
- Dr. Rusty Thomas provided a perspective on prediction versus perspective when using NAMs.
- Dr. Warren Casey discussed critical steps in moving from traditional animal testing to NAMs.
- Dr. Anna Beronius spoke about the Science in Risk Assessment and Policy (SciRAP) tool and its application in evaluation of *in vitro* studies.

## **Session II: Toxicogenomics**

Chairs: B. Hubesch | Cefic, F. Webster | Health Canada

#### Highlighted the novel tools and implications for toxicogenomics.

- Dr. Julie Buick reported progress in performing genotoxicity testing using the CometChip® assay and the TempO-Seq<sup>™</sup> TGx-DDI biomarker.
- Dr. Carole Yauk discussed the applications of benchmark dose modeling of transcriptomic data at Health Canada.
- Dr. Danyel Jennen discussed the possibilities to use meta-analysis of big data in toxicogenomics, as performed in the FAIR project.
- Dr. Jason O'Brien outlined the Avian ToxChip PCR assay, a rapid screening and monitoring tool for wildlife being developed for the EcoToxChip Project at Health Canada.

## **Session III: Fit-for-Purpose Tools and Methods**

Chairs: M. Hanzawa | JCIA, P. McMullen | ScitoVation, and R. Skoglund | Covestro

# Representatives described various fit-for-purpose tools and methods from regulatory agencies and private industry.

- Dr. Makoto Hayashi gave an overview of the Japanese Chemical Substances Control Law.
- Dr. Patrick McMullen discussed estimating provisional margins of exposure using HT computational methods.
- Dr. Katie Paul Friedman described a method for using in vivo bioactivity data as a point of departure, combined with high-throughput exposure estimates to provide a basis for risk-based prioritization and screening level assessments.
- Dr. Claire Terry discussed fit-for-purpose tools and methods being developed and used for crop protection.
- Dr. Lit-Hsin Loo described a the A\*STAR HIPPTox tool, which uses high-throughput cellular imaging and machine learning to optimize and build in vitro toxicity assays.
- Dr. Andy Nong described the Health Canada developed DREAM-TK platform, a tool for screening high-throughput toxicokinetics data.
- Dr. Lesa Aylward discussed challenges and opportunities for improving the use of biomonitoring data in risk assessment.

#### Session IV: New Approach Methods for Environmental and Ecotoxicology Chairs: N. Basu | McGill University, S. Hughes | Health Canada

Discussed NAMs developed for environmental and ecotoxicology and analyzed their relationship with regulatory development.

- Dr. Natalia Garcia-Reyero shared discussions and outcomes from the SETAC focused topic meeting on high-throughput methods for ecological risk assessment.
- Dr. Jon Arnot discussed integration of toxicokinetics data for bioaccumulation and exposure assessment. He discussed the BAT tool and RAIDAR project.
- Dr. Michelle Embry elaborated on a HESI project to develop an ecological threshold of concern (eco-TTC) to assist in environmental hazard assessment.
- Dr. Yuki Sakuratani described the use of alternative methods in evaluation of new chemical sunder the Japanese Chemical Substances Control Law.
- All speakers participated in a panel discussion.

## Session V: International Collaborations for Applying New Approach Methods to Regulatory Decisions Chair: R. Becker | ACC

Described two international collaborations for applying NAMs and elaborated upon by experts in their field.

- Dr. Hennicke Kamp discussed the EU-ToxRisk H2020 Project on mechanismbased toxicity testing.
- Dr. Mike Rasenberg outlined Accelerating the Pace of Chemical Risk Assessment (APCRA), a government to government initiative.

## Session VI (Panel): Establishing Scientific Confidence in New Approach Methods

Facilitator: V. Dellarco | U.S. EPA, Retired

#### Is it Time to Get Rid of the "V" Word? If Yes, What is its Replacement?

**Panelists:** T. Barton-Maclaren | *Health Canada*, R. Becker | *ACC*, W. Casey | *NIEHS*, E. Haugabrooks | *Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine*, M. Rasenberg | *ECHA*, K. Paul Friedman | *U.S. EPA* 

 Various methods for establishing confidence in NAMs were discussed. R. Becker posited the importance of a universal uniform scientific confidence framework. M. Rasenberg gave the ECHA perspective; ECHA is striving to engage in discussions regarding needs, limitations, and requirements for new methods related to regulation. K. Paul Friedman emphasized the importance of addressing uncertainty in new assessments. E. Haugabrooks gave the non-profit perspective on addressing uncertainty and the urgency to accept alternative models. T. Barton-Maclaren addressed Canadian interest and perspective in NAMs. W. Casey noted the importance of building consensus and understanding between regulators and researchers.

## **Poster Session**

#### **Environment and Ecotoxicology**

- 1. EcoToxChip: A toxicogenomics tool for chemical prioritization and environmental management (18 month update) (Basu)
- 2. Quantitative toxicogenomics methods to predict adverse outcomes in fathead minnow (Eawald)
- 3. Prioritizing organic chemicals: Adding to PBiT approach (Inglis)

#### In Vitro Assays, New Approach Methods, and Tissue Chips

- 4. Using the human dynamic multi-organ plate (Hu-DMOPTM) to evaluate cholestasis in vitro (Willoughby)
- 5. Addressing uncertainty in new approach methods (NAMs) to maximize confidence in their application in chemical risk assessments (Woodland)
- 6. Identifying attributes that influence in vitro-to-in vivo concordance by comparing in vitro Tox21 bioactivity versus in vivo DrugMatrix transcriptomic responses across 130 chemicals (**Rager**)
- 7. Surveying new approach methodologies (NAMs) for consideration in Canada's Roadmap for Risk Assessment Modernization under the Chemicals Management Plan We want to hear from you! (Webster, Trefiak)
- 8. Survey of global acute systemic toxicity test requirements to support a push towards harmonized acceptance of alternative strategies (Haugabrooks)
- 9. Fit-for-purpose in vitro assays in chemical prioritization and risk-based decision making: Example with estrogenic compounds (McMullen)

## **Poster Session**

#### Mode of Action, Exposure, and Risk Assessment

10. MoAviz: An interactive platform for integrating in vitro and in vivo toxicogenomic signatures for mode of action analysis (McMullen)

11. Comparisons of the RAIDAR-ICE model with other exposure models and exposure estimates for select chemicals (Arnot)

12. HESI's Risk Assessment in the 21st Century (RISK21) Framework: Overview and application (Embry)

#### **Toxicokinetics, PBPK, and IVIVE**

- 13. Unification of exposure and pharmacokinetic tools under the PLETHEM framework (Pendse)
- 14. Model qualification of PK-Sim® in the use of pediatric physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling for human health risk assessment (Yun)
- 15. Application of mass balance models to understand the behaviour of organic chemicals in in vitro toxicity tests (Armitage)
- 16. Advances in high-throughput toxicokinetics (HTTK) (Honda)

## Population Life-Course Exposure to Health Effects Model (PLETHEM)

Instructors: P. McMullen, S. Pendse | ScitoVation, USA, H. Clewell | Ramboll

Discussed how various exposure tools integrate with PLETHEM and PLETHEM's interactions with multiple exposure modeling tools.

- Instructors provided a brief overview of PLETHEM's purpose and progress.
- Dr. Salil Pendse ran two exercises, highlighting how PLETHEM integrates various types of exposure data (SEEM, SHEDS-HT, TRA).
- Dr. Pendse and Dr. Harvey Clewell provided a HTTK package overview and introduction to the HT-IVIVE module in PLETHEM.
- Dr. Clewell and Dr. Patrick McMullen explained the applicability of various exposure tools for informing PBPK modeling.